



REPUBLIC OF RWANDA

National Designated Authority (NDA)

For Green Climate Fund Engagement in Rwanda



Project Analysis Form for No-objection Letter

May 2018

Project Analysis Form For No-Objection Letter

In respect of the Green Climate Fund procedures, all projects/programmes seeking funding must receive a letter of no-objection from National Designated Authority (NDA) confirming country ownership. Letter of no-objection approval procedure includes checking that the project/programme respects Rwanda Sustainable Development criteria. A table below has different sections of criteria to be answered by an accredited entity requesting a Letter of No-objection.

DATA SHEET	Information provided		
Project Promoter:			
Type of entity:			
Name of specific project:			
National priorities matched (multiple choice allowed):			
GCF results area:			
Foreseen accredited entity/NIE:			
Project size category:			
Project life span (years):			
Co-financers:			
Other partners:			
Brief description:			
Do you need support for project preparation? (Yes or No):			
<u>National Approval Conditions (60%)</u>	Info provided	For Official Use	Rating
<u>National Priority sectors, policy and strategies</u>			25%
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Is the project/program proposal in line with GGCRS and relevant climate change policies in place? (Max: 5%) 			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Does the project/program proposal link with the concerned sector(s) strategic plan(s)? (Max: 5%) 			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> To which of the following national priorities is the project responding: (Max: 5%) MIT-Renewable Energy MIT-Energy efficiency MIT-Efficient transport MIT-Waste management MIT-Forest management ADAP-Integrated water resource mgt ADAP-Disaster risk management ADAP-Sustainable agricultural Intensification ADAP-Sustainable land-use planning and mgt ADAP-Climate proof infrastructure CCUT-Sustainable tourism development CCUT-Housing/green cities			

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Has the project/proposal been discussed during a stakeholder consultation process in order to make sure that the project promoter, beneficiaries, policy makers and regulator have the same understanding? (Max: 5%) 			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> In case of regional/multi-country project/program, how much (in both US\$ and %) will be invested in Rwanda? (Max: 5%) 			
Sub-Total		 /25
SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT CRITERIA (35%)			35%
<i>Economic Section (10%)</i>		For Official Use	Rating
1. How many local staff will be employed at what level of the project/programme management? (Max: 2.5%)			
2. Will your project enable technology transfer? Which technology and How? (Max: 2.5%)			
3. What are your local partners and how are they engaged in your project/programme? (Max: 5%)			
<i>Social Section (5%)</i>			
1. All forms of discrimination are avoided based on project/programme intervention area context. Present local authorities signed statement (Max: 2%).			
2. Cultural heritage and local knowledge are not damaged but used where possible (Max: 2%).			
3. No child labour is happening under the project/programme implementation. Workers' rights will be respected (Max: 1%)			
<i>Environmental Section (15%)</i>			
1. How do you plan to avoid or reduce Greenhouse gas emission? (Max: 3%)			
2. How will you environment impact? (Max: 3%)			
3. How will the project contribute to environmental protection or mitigate its environmental impact? (Max: 3%)			
4. How is your project contributing to its related Sector Strategic Plan (s)? (Max: 3%)			
5. Draw relationship between baseline data and project outputs (Max: 3%)			
Other questions to be considered (5%)			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Is the proposed project/ program the first of its kind in the country? If yes, what is your project rationale? Or is it aimed at scaling up or replicating an existing concept? If yes, who else has developed and financed similar projects and programs and what are the relevant lessons learn from previous experience? (Max: 1%) 			

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Why is the GCF a relevant source of funding for this project/ program? How is this project going to catalyse green growth? How much is the project co-financing? (Max: 2%) 			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Describe any capacity constraints, and policy and regulatory impediments to project/program implementation (Max: 2%) 			
Sub-Total		/35
GCF Approval Conditions (40%)			40%
Does the project/proposal achieve one or more GCF strategic impacts? (Max: 4%)			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>Mitigation</u>: Energy generation and access; Transport; Forest and land use and buildings-cities-industries-appliances <u>Adaptation</u>: Health-food and water security; livelihoods of people and communities; ecosystems and ecosystem services, Infrastructure and built environment. 			
Does the project comply with GCF Investment criteria? (Max: 36%)			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>Impact potential</u>: Is the project contributing to the Fund's objectives to shift towards low-emission and climate-resilient sustainable development? (Max: 6%) 			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>Paradigm shift potential</u>: To which degree the proposed project can catalyse impact beyond a one-off project/program investment? How scalable and replicable is the project? How innovative and transformative is it? (Max: 6%) 			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>Sustainable development potential</u>: Will the proposed project/program deliver meaningful environmental, social, environmental and economic co-benefits? Does the project have a gender sensitive development impact? (Max: 6%) 			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>Country Ownership</u>: Do beneficiaries have ownership of the proposed project/program? Do they have capacity to implement a funded project? How well the project/program does fits within the beneficiary country's existing policies, climate strategies and institutions? (Max: 6%) 			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>Efficiency and Effectiveness</u>: Is the project reflecting value for money? Is the project financially and economically appropriate? For mitigation-specific project, how cost-effective is the project? How much co-financing will it bring? (Max: 6%) 			

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Needs of the Recipient: How is the proposed project/program tackling vulnerability and financial needs of Rwanda? To what extent vulnerable groups, barriers to financing and level of exposure to climate risks within Rwanda? (Max: 6%) 			
<u>Sub-Total</u>			/40
TOTAL MIN/MAX			/100
If total score is less than 70% quality), proposal/concept should be objected			